

**GBL – 62 High Yield Soybean Project**  
*Brazil – 2015 crop season*

A - Paraná State, city of Ponta Grossa – image ID (IPNI2016EFR01-1078)

### 1. Trial information

- ✓ Trial was set up in Nov 2014, and harvested in Apr 2015.
- ✓ Treatments under evaluation

**Table 1.** Treatments under evaluation.

T#	Crop	Fertilization (kg/ha)	BS (%)	Phosphogypsum (ton/ha)	Foliar (g/ha)	Extra (g/ha)
1	Maize	180(N) + 96(P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> ) + 90(K <sub>2</sub> O) + 3(Zn)	60	0	-	-
2	Maize	190(N) + 120(P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> ) + 90(K <sub>2</sub> O) + 54(S) + 3(Zn)	75	2.5	2.5(Cu) + 40(Mn) + 25(Zn)	100(Mo)
3	Maize	190(N) + 120(P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> ) + 90(K <sub>2</sub> O) + 54(S) + 3(Zn)	60	0	-	-
4	Maize	180(N) + 96(P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> ) + 90(K <sub>2</sub> O) + 3(Zn)	75	0	-	-
5	Maize	180(N) + 96(P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> ) + 90(K <sub>2</sub> O) + 3(Zn)	60	2.5	-	-
6	Maize	180(N) + 96(P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> ) + 90(K <sub>2</sub> O) + 3(Zn)	60	0	2.5(Cu) + 40(Mn) + 25(Zn)	-
7	Maize	180(N) + 96(P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> ) + 90(K <sub>2</sub> O) + 3(Zn)	60	0	-	100(Mo)
8	Soybean	60(P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> ) + 60(K <sub>2</sub> O) + 12(S)	60	0	-	-
9	Soybean	18(N) + 90(P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> ) + 90(K <sub>2</sub> O) + 20(S)	75	0.5	7(Cu) + 63(Mn) + 21(Zn)	100(Mo)
10	Soybean	18(N) + 90(P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> ) + 90(K <sub>2</sub> O) + 20(S)	60	0	-	-
11	Soybean	60(P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> ) + 60(K <sub>2</sub> O) + 12(S)	75	0	-	-
12	Soybean	60(P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> ) + 60(K <sub>2</sub> O) + 12(S)	60	0.5	-	-
13	Soybean	60(P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> ) + 60(K <sub>2</sub> O) + 12(S)	60	-	7(Cu) + 63(Mn) + 21(Zn)	-
14	Soybean	60(P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> ) + 60(K <sub>2</sub> O) + 12(S)	60	-	-	100(Mo)

- ✓ Parameters evaluated: soil test (0-10 and 10-20 cm), dry matter yield, grain yield, macronutrients uptake and removal, and seed weight
- ✓ Statistics: SAS, Tukey at 5% probability

## 2. Results for soybean

**Table 2.** Soybean dry matter yield (DMY) and nutrient uptake in response to treatments.

T#	DMY	Nutrient uptake						
		N	P	K	Ca	Mg	S	
kg/ha								
1	3,160	a	172	a	13.4	a	58	a
2	3,287	a	180	a	15.0	a	63	a
3	3,214	a	182	a	14.4	a	60	a
4	3,112	a	174	a	13.5	a	52	a
5	3,198	a	176	a	14.0	a	59	a
6	3,156	a	176	a	13.7	a	55	a
7	3,194	a	176	a	13.8	a	55	a
<b>Mean</b>	<b>3,189</b>		<b>177</b>		<b>14.0</b>		<b>57</b>	
CV(%)	2.5		3.0		6.9		10.8	
P>F	0.29		0.31		0.42		0.48	
DMS	229		15.0		2.7		17.8	
<b>Mean</b>	<b>3,189</b>		<b>177</b>		<b>14.0</b>		<b>57</b>	
CV(%)	2.5		3.0		6.9		10.8	
P>F	0.29		0.31		0.42		0.48	
DMS	229		15.0		2.7		17.8	

**Table 3.** Soybean grain yield (GY) and nutrient removal in response to treatments.

T#	GY	Nutrient removal						
		N	P	K	Ca	Mg	S	
kg/ha								
1	3,573	a	48.7	a	4.8	a	45.8	a
2	3,718	a	52.7	a	5.4	a	49.5	a
3	3,634	a	50.4	a	5.2	a	50.4	a
4	3,522	a	47.5	a	4.9	a	45.2	a
5	3,615	a	46.8	a	4.6	a	46.0	a
6	3,566	a	45.8	a	4.1	a	44.0	a
7	3,617	a	47.9	a	4.5	a	44.3	a
<b>Mean</b>	<b>3,606</b>		<b>48.5</b>		<b>4.8</b>		<b>46.4</b>	
CV(%)	2.4		10.9		18.0		12.2	
P>F	0.25		0.73		0.63		0.73	
DMS	249		15.1		2.5		16.2	

### 3. Results for maize

**Table 4.** Maize dry matter yield (DMY) and nutrient uptake in response to treatments.

T#	DMY	Nutrient uptake												
		N	P	K	Ca	Mg	S	kg/ha						
1	20,196	a	247	a	29	a	189	a	46	a	40	a	14.7	a
2	20,918	a	279	a	37	a	214	a	53	a	48	a	18.7	a
3	20,794	a	272	a	35	a	213	a	51	a	45	a	17.2	a
4	19,989	a	252	a	29	a	192	a	45	a	37	a	13.7	a
5	20,072	a	248	a	32	a	185	a	46	a	41	a	15.3	a
6	20,153	a	260	a	33	a	196	a	43	a	37	a	14.8	a
7	20,065	a	251	a	33	a	188	a	44	a	38	a	15.6	a
<b>Mean</b>	<b>20,312</b>		<b>258</b>		<b>33</b>		<b>197</b>		<b>47</b>		<b>41</b>		<b>15.7</b>	
CV(%)	9.0		12.8		19.2		12.0		12.3		21.7		16.6	
P>F	0.99		0.83		0.70		0.63		0.33		0.69		0.35	
DMS	5,221		94.7		17.9		67.5		16.6		25.4		7.5	

**Table 5.** Maize grain yield (GY) and nutrient removal in response to treatments.

B – Mato Grosso State, city of Itiquira – image ID (IPNI2016EFR01-1079)

## 1. Trial information

- ✓ Trial set up in Nov 2015. Not harvested yet.
- ✓ Treatments under evaluation.

**Table 6.** Treatments under evaluation.

T#	Crop	Season	Fertilization (kg/ha)	Foliar (g/ha)	BS (%)
1	Soybean	Summer	60(P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> ) + 72(K <sub>2</sub> O)	135(Mn)	50
	Maize 2 <sup>nd</sup> crop	Fall	70(N) + 52(P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> ) + 40(K <sub>2</sub> O)	180(Zn)	50
2	Soybean	Summer	19(N) + 90(P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> ) + 90(K <sub>2</sub> O) + 19 (S)	7(B) + 7(Cu), 78(Mn) + 13(Mg) + 4(Mo) + 21(S) + 40(Zn)	65
	Maize 2 <sup>nd</sup> crop	Fall	140(N) + 50(P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> ) + 50(K <sub>2</sub> O) + 22(S)	7(B) + 7(Cu), 78(Mn) + 13(Mg) + 4(Mo) + 21(S) + 40(Zn)	65
3	Soybean	Summer	19(N) + 90(P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> ) + 90(K <sub>2</sub> O) + 19 (S)	135(Mn)	50
	Maize 2 <sup>nd</sup> crop	Fall	140(N) + 50(P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> ) + 50(K <sub>2</sub> O) + 22(S)	180(Zn)	50
4	Soybean	Summer	60(P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> ) + 72(K <sub>2</sub> O)	7(B) + 7(Cu), 78(Mn) + 13(Mg) + 4(Mo) + 21(S) + 40(Zn)	50
	Maize 2 <sup>nd</sup> crop	Fall	140(N) + 50(P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> ) + 50(K <sub>2</sub> O) + 22(S)	7(B) + 7(Cu), 78(Mn) + 13(Mg) + 4(Mo) + 21(S) + 40(Zn)	50
5	Soybean	Summer	60(P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> ) + 72(K <sub>2</sub> O)	135(Mn)	65
	Maize 2 <sup>nd</sup> crop	Fall	140(N) + 50(P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> ) + 50(K <sub>2</sub> O) + 22(S)	180(Zn)	65

- ✓ Parameters evaluated: soil test (0-10 and 10-20 cm), dry matter yield, grain yield, macronutrients uptake and removal, and seed weight
- ✓ Statistics: SAS, Tukey at 5% probability