1982

#### ANNUAL REPORT

TO

#### POTASH & PHOSPHATE INSTITUTE

# Maximizing Wheat Yields In North Dakota In A Crop Rotation System

#### Вy

Dr. E. J. Deibert, Project Co-Leader

Mr. B. Hoag, Project Co-Leader

Dr. R. Hosford, Project Cooperator

Dr. R. Stack, Project Cooperator

Mr. D. Lizotte, Project Cooperator

Dr. R. J. Goos, Project Cooperator

Mr. B. Johnson, Project Cooperator

### North Dakota State University

# North Dakota Agricultural Experiment Station

Soil Science Department - Fargo, ND
North Central Experiment Station - Minot, ND
Plant Pathology Department - Fargo, ND

	•	

TITLE: Maximizing Wheat Yields in North Dakota in a Crop Rotation System

#### PROJECT CO-LEADERS:

Dr. E. J. Deibert, Associate Professor - Soil Science Department

Mr. B. Hoag, Superintendent - North Central Experiment Station PROJECT COOPERATORS:

Dr. R. Hosford, Professor - Plant Pathology Department

Dr. R. Stack, Associate Professor - Plant Pathology Department

Mr. D. Lizotte, Research Assistant - Soil Science Department

Dr. R. J. Goos, Assistant Professor - Soil Science Department

Mr. B. Johnson, Research Assistant - Soil Science Department LOCATION:

The experimental site is located in Ward County (NW4-Sec 12-T 154N-R83W) on the North Central Experiment Station south of Minot, North Dakota. The area has a north facing slope at an elevation of 1770 feet. The soil is a Williams loam (fine-loamy, mixed typic argiboroll). Three (3) adjacent block areas were set up to accommodate the crop rotation as follows:

Block	Previous Crop (1981)	1982	<u>Ye</u> 1983	<u>ar</u> 1984	1985
1,	Flax	Flax	Durum	Sunflower	Flax
2	Flax	Durum	Sunflower	Flax	Durum
3	Wheat	Sunflower	Flax	Durum	Sunflower

Initial soil chemical and physical properties of the soil profiles is summarized in Table 1. Air temperature and precipitation data for the area is given in Table 13 and 14. Originally continuous monitoring soil temperature probes with recording charts were set out at the site

but malfunctioning occurred as a result of field rodents thus data was not available. Humidity data was recorded in the shelter but is not available at this time.

#### **OBJECTIVES:**

- Maximize wheat yields in central North Dakota under a crop rotation system utilizing the best current management practices that influence ultimate yield.
- Identify and quantify these management factors studied or combination of factors that contribute to maximum yields obtained.
- 3. Equate the chemical properties of the plant and soil and physical condition of the soil to the maximum yields obtained.
- 4. Evaluate the interaction of the management factors studied with wheat diseases.

#### PROCEDURE AND RESULTS:

The wheat experiment was set up in a split-split block arrangement with four replications. Individual unit plots were 24 feet x 24 feet (12 x 24 for yield measurements and 12 x 24 for plant soil and disease sampling). The two main split blocks were fertilizer treatment ( $F_1$ ,  $F_2$ ,  $F_3$ ) and fungicide spray ( $S_1$ ,  $S_2$ ) with the spray treatments split by varieties ( $V_1$ ,  $V_2$ ). The treatments were as follows:

- $F_1$  = Normal fertilizer rate deep placed based on soil tests and a yield goal of 35 bu/acre.
- $F_2$  = Nitrogen and phosphorus fertilizer rates deep placed based on  $F_1$  soil tests and a maximum yield goal of 80 bu/acre.
- $F_3$  = Same as  $F_2$  except a rate of  $K_2^0$  broadcast as KC1 was applied.
- $S_1 = No fungicide applied.$
- $S_{2}$  = Fungicide applied at head emergence and subsequently in 10 days.

V<sub>1</sub> = Cando durum (semidwarf).

V<sub>2</sub> = Vic durum (normal height).

Initial soil tests indicated adequate levels  $(NO_3-N = 104 \text{ lb/acre})$ in 2 feet, P = 25 lb/acre and K = 425 lb/acre) for the  $F_1$  fertilizer treatment. The  $F_2$  and  $F_3$  treatments had 100 lb N/acre and 30 lb  $P_2^{0}$ acre deep banded (7-8 inches) as a liquid prior to planting using 28-0-0and 10-34-0. The  $F_3$  treatment also received a broadcast application of 50 lb  $K_2^{0/a}$ cre as KCl. One week prior to planting the plots were sprayed with 1 pt/acre of Roundup (glyphosate) and 2 oz/acre Banvel (dicamba) with X-77 surfactant for initial weed burndown. The wheat was planted no-till on May 12 with a Haybuster drill with 6-inch spacing at 60 lb/acre seeding rate. The seed was treated with Vitavax 200 prior to seeding. All plots received 50 lb/acre 18-46-0 fertilizer with the seed at planting time. The concentration of N, P and K in the planted seed was 2.83, .38 and .56% respectively for Cando and 2.79, .38 and .54% for the Vic durum. The plots were sprayed on June 12 with 1 pt/acre Bronate (bromoxynil + MCPA) for broadleaf control. The fungicide Dithane M-45 was applied with a back sprayer on July 7 at a rate of 2 lb/acre just as the wheat heads were emerging from the boot stage. The second application was applied at the same rate 10 days later. Plant samples were \*\*collected at the milk to soft dough stage (July 26) for total dry matter production and nutrient analysis. The durum wheat was harvested on September 2 with a small research plot combine.

Gravimetric soil moisture samples were collected in the spring (April 22) on the wheat plots and again after harvest (September 2) to 4-foot depth (0-3, 3-6, 6-12, 12-24, 24-36 and 36-48 inch increments) to determine crop moisture use (Initial soil moisture + precipitation received - final soil moisture).

The flax area of the rotation (Block 1) was disked, field cultivated and planted with the Haybuster drill on May 22 with Culbert flax at a rate of 40 lb/acre for a yield goal of 25 bu/acre. Initial soil tests ( $NO_3$ -N = 96 lb/acre, P = 20 lb/acre and K = 380 lb/acre) indicated no fertilizer required for this yield goal. The area was sprayed with 1 pt/acre Bronate on June 12 for weed control. The flax area was harvested on September 1 and a yield of 25 bu/acre was obtained.

The sunflower area of the rotation (Block 3) was plowed and field cultivated once. Fargo (triallate) at 1 qt/acre and Treflan (trifluralin) at 1 qt/acre were applied and incorporated with two field cultivation for weed control. A yield goal of 1800 lb/acre was set and initial soil tests ( $NO_3-N=101$ , P=16, K=450) indicated no fertilizer required. The sunflower seed (PAG-SF 102) was planted on May 26 in 30 inch spacing. Final plant population was 18,000 plants/acre. The sunflower area was harvested on November 17 and a final yield of 1824 lb was obtained.

Statistical analysis of the data was performed on a computer utilizing the SAS procedures with tests of significance by Ducan-Waller K-Ratio T test (Bayes LSD). These results are summarized in Table 12.

#### Plant Growth and Yield

Data on dry matter production, plant height, yield, test weight and kernal weight are summarized in Tables 2, 3 and 5. Plant dry matter was significantly increased by the  $F_2$  and  $F_3$  maximum fertilizer treatments and showed significant interactions with the Fertilizer x Spray and Fertilizer x Spray x Variety. Grain yields followed the same sequence, however, although yields were not significant at the .05 level, the potassium treatment  $(F_3)$  showed the greatest response when averaged over

all the treatment variables. It is interesting to note that the Cando variety (semi-dwarf) showed a decrease in yield when the fungicide was applied whereas the Vic variety (normal height) showed a positive yield response to fungicide spray. Both test weight and seed weight were significantly decreased by the high fertilizer rates with a Fertilizer x Variety interaction, the Cando ( $extstyle{V}_1$ ) variety affected substantially more than the Vic  $(V_2)$  variety. This tends to suggest that, although moisture appeared to be adequate, it was lacking in sufficient amounts to fill out the kernals at the maximum fertilizer rates, unless maturity delay was a contributing factor. Moisture use by the durum crop (Table 4) was significantly higher on the two maximum fertilizer treatments but not influenced by the other variables or their interactions. height was significantly increased by the two maximum fertilizer treatments and showed a significant Variety x Fertilizer interaction, the normal height durum (Vic) increased more than the semi-dwarf (Cando) which showed an increase in height only with the addition of potassium fertilizer.

## Foliar and Root Rot Diseases

The leaf spot ratings are summarized in Table 4. There were distinct differences in tan spot (caused by Pyrenophora trichostoma fungus) severity on the flag leaves among plots. However, these differences do not fit any pattern but seem to fluctuate at random throughout the fertilizer, variety, and protective fungicide treatments. These ratings were made when the plants were at early to late dough. To clearly distinguish spotting significant ratings are usually made at late milk to early dough, however in this case spotting was still distinct on August 9. Tan spot severity was at the upper end of the 0-9

rating scale, so a percent of flag leaf damaged scale was used. Disease did not develop early in these 48 plots. At early heading (following the first fungicide spray) spotting (principally tan spot) was appearing only on the bottom most plant leaves in trace amounts. By August 9 distinct differences in spotting would have been expected between sprayed and unsprayed plots. Instead the differences seem to be occurring at random throughout the plots. The only significant differences in ratings was observed on the Fertilizer x Variety interaction with the disease ratings higher on the Vic variety (normal height) at the two maximum fertilizer rates.

Root rot rating was begun on July 20. Immediate difficulty was encountered as only a small proportion of plants had usable (>1 inch) sub-crown internodes because of shallow seeding. All rateable plants came from a few rows--probably where the drill coulters were slightly deeper than the rest. By the time one complete replicate was examined, it was obvious that this problem was not limited but throughout the plot. Since the validity of the sub-crown internode indexing method for evaluating common root rot relies on a random sample of multiple drill rows, any data collected on root rot occurrence in these plots would be seriously in question. Upon examination of the roots an obvious presence of Fusarium--another soil fungus was noted which can incite or enhance root/crown rot. Evaluation of Fusarium was not part of the initial plan for this experiment but its presence suggests that it might be important here and should be noted. This potentially pathogenic second fungus would further confuse any results. For these reasons no data is regarded on root rot.

## Plant Nutrient Concentrations and Uptake

Plant samples at the soft dough stage of the Cando variety  $(V_1)$  had significantly higher N and P concentration than the Vic variety  $(V_2)$ . Both maximum fertilizer rates increased K percent in the durum plants over the standard  $F_1$  treatment. Plant uptake of N, P and K were significantly higher when maximum fertilizer rates were applied. P uptake by the Cando variety was higher than the Vic variety.

The K concentration in the Cando durum seed was higher than the Vic variety. Uptake of N and K by the seed was enhanced by the application of potassium fertilizer. Also a significant Variety x Spray interaction occurred on N uptake where the seed of the variety Cando decreased when sprayed with fungicide but increased with spraying of the Vic variety. SUMMARY:

The 1982 growing season appeared to be ideal for maximizing yields as 11.58 inches of precipitation was received from planting to harvest and air temperatures were moderately cool as very few daily high temperatures reached 90 degrees (7 days in August). The maximum yield obtained on individual plots was 81.3 bu/acre and the lowest 45.3 bu/acre with an average for the area of 59.1 bu/acre. The maximum wheat yield ever recorded at this station was 70 bu/acre, thus some factor was limiting yield. Some variation occurred among treatments which lowered the yield levels which may have resulted from previous management of this site. The apparent decrease in yield of the Cando variety with spraying certainly had an effect on the overall analysis of the data. In another trial at this location, fungicide treatment related to yield increase with Vic and yield decrease with Cando. The lack of uniform control of foliar diseases with the fungicide also contributed to yield

levels, assuming the disease was significant to cause yield losses. The high soil fertility levels at this site lowered the response that would normally be expected, however, the nutrient levels measured in the plants suggested that these nutrients were not the factor limiting yield. The low amount of precipitation during late July and early August, which was during the grain filling period, probably contributed most to not maximizing yields as exhibited by the lower test and seed weights, especially on the Cando variety.

#### FUTURE PLANS:

The maximum yield trial will be continued in 1983 according to the crop rotation sequences and methods outlined in the original proposal with only a few minor changes. The wheat will be planted deeper to provide a rateable sub-crown internode for root rot evaluation. Also Fusarium will be added to the root/crown rot ratings which can be readily accomplished within the present experimental framework. The spraying of plots with fungicide for leaf spot control using larger field sprayers is being considered instead of hand back sprayers to try and obtain uniform spray coverage (assuming this was the reason for lack of uniform control in 1982). Additional monitoring of humidity within the various variety canopies is being considered, assuming reliable equipment is available, to relate to disease incidence and control. Evaluation of the percent hard and vitreous kernals of the durum seed is being considered as there appears to be a relationship between this test and yield in relation to nitrogen deficiency.

The data as presented is available for use by PPI/FAR, the project supporter. Currently there are no plans to do an economic evaluation of yearly data, however, an economic evaluation is being considered for the project after the crop rotation sequence is complete.

Table 1. Initial Chemical and Physical Properties in the Soil Profile by Block Areas for the Maximum Wheat Yield Trial in A Crop Rotation System: Minot, ND - 1982.

5011	Soil Soil Profile Depth (inches)							
Property	0-3	3-6	6-12	12-24	24-36	36-48		
MARCON MA	ATTACABLE TO SECURE AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN	<del></del>						
			Blo	ock 1				
		``						
NO <sub>3</sub> -N (lb/acre)	7.5	9.2	31.5	90.5	67.0	36.0		
Organic Matter (%)	3.00	2.95	1.80	1.05	0.55	0.32		
Total N (%)	.168	.167	.115	.074	.054	.040		
P (lb/acre)	24.0	29.2	6.00	2.25	1.00	1.75		
K (1b/acre)	430	436	316	220	193	205		
SO <sub>4</sub> -S (ppm) Zn <sup>4</sup> (ppm)	5.0	5.0	6.0	6.0	8.0	9.2		
Zn <sup>4</sup> (ppm)	1.15	1.10	0.30	0.18	0.18	0.68		
Fe (ppm)	48.0	54.0	26.0	9.7	5.8	5.6		
Mn (ppm)	33.4	32.3	22.6	8.4	3.3	2.9		
Cu (ppm)	•75	.75	.75	.82	.72	.70		
pH (1:1)	6.78	7.12	7.78	8.55	8.10	8.10		
Bulk Density (g/cm <sup>3</sup> )	1.27	1.35	1.42	1.39	1.48	1.51		
,		•	<u>B1</u>	ock 2				
					21 5	20.0		
NO <sub>3</sub> -N (1b/acre) Organic Matter (%)	8.0	11.5	39.2	66.0	31.5	20.0		
Organic Matter (%)	3.52	3.75	2.12	1.22	0.78	0.48		
Total N (%)	.188	.194	.128	.086	.057	.039		
P (lb/acre)	24.8	30.8	5.7	2.2	1.8	1.5 242		
K (lb/acre)	491	498	380	287	252	32.8		
SO <sub>4</sub> -S (ppm) Zn <sup>4</sup> (ppm)	5.5	4.2	6.0	6.8	25.2 0.12	0.35		
	1.48	1.42	0.25	0.10	6.9	5.2		
Fe (ppm)	59.9	58.5	24.4	11.6	4.2	2.9		
Mn (ppm)	41.5	40.6	20.0	10.4	.80	2.3		
Cu (ppm)	.80	.78	.72	.78	8.28	8.70		
pH (1:1)	6.20	6.18	6.52	7.35 1.55	1.48	1.52		
Bulk Density (g/cm <sup>3</sup> )	1.17	1.26	1.47	1.00	1.40	1472		
			<u>B</u> ]	Lock 3				
NO N (11./)	0 2	14.8	34.5	58.5	47.0	29.5		
NO <sub>3</sub> -N (1b/acre) Organic Matter (%)	8.2 4.00	3.82	2.20		0.80	0.60		
Urganic Matter (%)	.202	.194	.127	.088	.042	.027		
Total N (%)	26.5	13.0	4.8	3.2	3.2	1.8		
P (1b/acre)	639	476	430	316	261	225		
K (1b/acre)	15.8	7.0	6.0	13.2	25.0	26.8		
SO -S (ppm)	1.90	1.60	0.50	0.55	0.35	0.35		
Zn <sup>4</sup> (ppm)	58.6	60.5	31.2	24.8	13.8	7.4		
Fe (ppm) Mn (ppm)	41.8	34.1	19.8	14.4	4.8	4.1		
	.85	.80	.80	.90	.90	.90		
Cu (ppm) pH (1:1)	6.60	6.40	6.70	6.95	7.90	8.42		
Bulk Density (g/cm <sup>3</sup> )	1.09	1.25	1.31	1.30	1.46	1.52		

Grain Yield and Grain Test Weight of Durum Wheat As Influenced by Fertilizer Treatment, Variety and Fungicide Spray: Minot, ND - 1982.

		Eurofo	ida Cara	Trans.	2/		- TT TT	ety <sup>3/</sup>	
Fertilizer	Fungicide Spra			y Heat S	2		vari		
Treatment1/	$\overline{v}_1$	V <sub>2</sub>	Ave.	$\overline{v}_1$	v <sub>2</sub>	Ave.	v <sub>1</sub>	v <sub>2</sub>	Ave.
			Grain Y	ield (b	u/acre	<u>)</u>			
F <sub>1</sub>	58.4	55.8	57.1	52.4	59.2	55.8	55.4	57.5	56.4
F <sub>2</sub>	62.6	56.5	59.6	50.9	61.1	56.0	56.8	58.8	57.8
<sup>F</sup> 3	61.9	61.4	61.7	62.3	66.7	64.5	62.1	64.1	63.1
Ave.	61.0	57.9	59.4	55.2	62.3	58.8	58.1	60.1	
		<u>G</u>	rain Tes	t Weigh	t (1b/	bu)			
$^{\mathtt{F}}_{\mathtt{1}}$	59.4	61.2	60.3	58 <sup>-</sup> .9	60.6	59.8	59.1	60.9	60.0
F <sub>2</sub>	56.4	60.2	58.3	56.2	60.0	58.1	56.3	60.1	58.2
F <sub>3</sub>	5.8.0	60.6	59.3	57.6	60.5	59.1	57.8	60.5	<u>59.2</u>
Ave.	57.9	60.6	59.3	57.6	60.4	59.0	57.8	60.5	

<sup>1/</sup>Fertilizer treatment

all treatments received 50 lb/acre 18-46-0 applied with the seed at planting.

S<sub>1</sub> = No fungicide applied. S<sub>2</sub> = Fungicide applied in

 $\frac{3}{\text{Variety}}$ 

 $F_1$  = Normal fertilizer rate based on soil tests and yield goal of 35 bu/acre (none required in 1982).

 $F_2$  = Nitrogen (100 lb N/acre) and phosphorus (30 lb  $P_2O_5/acre$ ) fertilizer rate deep placed based on F<sub>1</sub> soil tests and maximum yield goal of 80 bu/acre.

 $F_3$  = Same as  $F_2$  except 50 lb/acre  $K_2$ 0 fertilizer applied broadcast as KCl.

<sup>2/</sup>Fungicide spray treatment

<sup>=</sup> Fungicide applied in two applications at recommended rates of Dithane M-45 (2 1b/A). (First applied July 7 and second 10. days later).

Plant Height and Seed Weight Of Durum Wheat As Influenced by Fertilizer Treatment, Variety and Fungicide Spray: Minot, ND - 1982.

COMMON AND ADDRESS OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROP	ĭ	Gungici	de Spray	Treatr	nent2/		Varie		
Fertilizer Treatment 1/	$\overline{v}_1$	V <sub>2</sub>	Ave.	v <sub>1</sub>	v <sub>2</sub>	Ave.	v <sub>1</sub>	v <sub>2</sub>	Ave.
Opportungen design from extending operated consistent and in receivery proper proper and design	gg ára legti penn mennt dep ár diferen dep a trends de semble		Plant He	eight (	inches)				
$\mathtt{F}_1$	27.0	35.7	31.3	28.5	37.5	33.0	27.8	36.6	32.2
F <sub>2</sub>	27.8	38.6	33.2	28.5	40.2	34.4	28.2	39.4	33.8
F <sub>3</sub>	29.8	39.1	34.4	28.9	41.4	35.2	29.4	40.3	34.8
Ave.	28.2	37.8	33.0	28.7	39.7	34.2	28.4	38.8	
			Seed Wei	ght (gr	am/100	<u>0)</u>			
$\mathtt{F}_1$	35.4	45.0	40.2	37.6	45.6	41.6	36.5	45.3	40.9
F <sub>2</sub>	31.2	41.4	36.3	30.6	42.2	36.4	30.9	41.8	36.4
. F <sub>3</sub>	34.8	44.1	39.5	33.1	43.6	38.4	34.0	43.9	38.9
Ave.	33.8	43.5	38.6	33.8	43.8	38.8	33.8	43.7	

 $F_1$  = Normal fertilizer rate based on soil tests and yield goal of 35

bu/acre (none required in 1982).  $F_2$  = Nitrogen (100 lb N/acre) and phosphorus (30 lb  $P_2O_5$ /acre) fer-

tilizer rate deep placed based on F, soil tests and maximum yield goal of 80 bu/acre.

 $F_3$  = Same as  $F_2$  except 50 lb/acre  $K_2$ 0 fertilizer applied broadcast

Note: all treatments received 50 lb/acre 18-46-0 applied with the seed at planting.

2/Fungicide spray treatment

S<sub>1</sub> = No fungicide applied. S<sub>2</sub> = Fungicide applied in = Fungicide applied in two applications at recommended rates of Dithane M-45 (2 1b/A). (First applied July 7 and second 10 days later).

3/Variety

Table 4. Leaf Spot Disease Rating and Moisture Use of Durum Wheat As Influenced by Fertilizer Treatment, Variety and Fungicide Spray: Minot, ND - 1982.

	Fungicide Spray Treatment <sup>2/</sup>						Vari	ety <sup>3/</sup>	<del></del>
Fertilizer	S	1		S	2				
Treatment1/	$v_1$		Ave.	$\overline{v}_1$		Ave.	$v_{1}$	٧ <sub>2</sub>	Ave.
	Lea	f Dise	ase Rati	ng (% F	lag Le	af Damag	<u>ed)4</u> /		
F <sub>1</sub>	55.0	67.5	61.2	90.0	55.0	72.5	72.5	61.2	66.9
F <sub>2</sub>	82.5	90.0	86.2	95.0	77.5	86.2	88.8	83.8	86.2
<sup>F</sup> 3	55.0	95.0	75.0	87.5	82.5	85.0	71.2	88.8	80.0
Ave.	64.2	84.2	74.2	90.8	71.7	81.2	77.5	77.9	
			Moistur	e Use (	inches	<u>)5</u> /			
F <sub>1</sub>	18.6	18.2	18.4	18.8	17.4	18.1	18.7	17.8	18.3
F <sub>2</sub>	19.2	18.4	18.8	18.9	18.7	18.8	19.1	18.6	18.8
<sup>F</sup> 3	18.9	18.8	18.8	19.0	18.6	18.8	19.0	18.7	18.8
Ave.	18.9	18.5	18.7	18.9	18.2	18.6	18.9	18.3	

<sup>1/</sup>Fertilizer treatment

all treatments received 50 lb/acre 18-46-0 applied with the seed Note: at planting.

 $\frac{3}{\text{Variety}}$ 

 $F_1$  = Normal fertilizer rate based on soil tests and yield goal of 35 bu/acre (none required in 1982).

 $F_2$  = Nitrogen (100 lb N/acre) and phosphorus (30 lb  $P_2O_5$ /acre) fertilizer rate deep placed based on F, soil tests and maximum yield goal of 80 bu/acre.

 $F_3$  = Same as  $F_2$  except 50 lb/acre  $K_2$ 0 fertilizer applied broadcast

 $<sup>\</sup>frac{2}{}$ Fungicide spray treatment

S<sub>1</sub> = No fungicide applied. S<sub>2</sub> = Fungicide applied in = Fungicide applied in two applications at recommended rates of Dithane M-45 (2 1b/A). (First applied July 7 and second 10 days later).

 $<sup>\</sup>frac{4}{1}$  Tan spot severity rating on August 9 when plants were at early to late dough stage.

 $<sup>\</sup>frac{5}{\text{Moisture}}$  use by the crop which is determined by equation: MU = (initial soil moisture + precipitation - final soil moisture) where soil moisture determined gravimetrically in 4-foot profile and precipitation is the amount received between initial and final soil sampling dates.

Table 5. Plant Dry Matter and Seed Dry Matter of Durum Wheat As Influenced By Fertilizer Treatment, Variety and Fungicide Spray:

Minot, ND - 1982.

distance in the second	with the state of	Fungici	lde Spray	Treati	ment <sup>2/</sup>		Varie	3/ ety=	
Fertilizer	S	3		S	)				
Treatment 1/	$\overline{v}_1$	v <sub>2</sub>	Ave.	v <sub>1</sub>	v <sub>2</sub>	Ave.	v <sub>1</sub>	v <sub>2</sub>	Ave.
	Plant	Dry Ma	atter (11	o/acre)	- Sof	t Dough	Stage		
F <sub>1</sub>	5570	5627	5599	5152	5925	5539	5361	5776	5569
F <sub>2</sub>	6213	7114	6664	7781	7393	7587	6997	7254	7125
F <sub>3</sub>	7316	7666	7491	6822	7450	7136	7069	7558	7314
Ave.	6366	6803	6584	6585	6922	6754	6476	6863	
•		<u>s</u>	eed Dry	Matter	(lb/ac	re)			
$\mathtt{F}_1$	3065	2927	2996	2751	3108	2930	2908	3017	2963
F <sub>2</sub>	3266	2968	3127	2672	3208	2940	2979	3087	<u>3034</u>
. F <sub>3</sub>	3251	3226	3239	3272	3502	3387	3262	3364	3313
Ave.	3201	3040	3120	2898	3272	3085	3050	3156	

 $F_1$  = Normal fertilizer rate based on soil tests and yield goal of 35 bu/acre (none required in 1982).

F<sub>2</sub> = Nitrogen (100 lb N/acre) and phosphorus (30 lb P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/acre) fertilizer rate deep placed based on F<sub>1</sub> soil tests and maximum yield goal of 80 bu/acre.

F<sub>3</sub> = Same as F<sub>2</sub> except 50 lb/acre K<sub>2</sub>0 fertilizer applied broadcast

Note: all treatments received 50 lb/acre 18-46-0 applied with the seed at planting.

2/Fungicide spray treatment

S<sub>1</sub> = No fungicide applied. S<sub>1</sub> = Fungicide applied in two applications at recommended rates of Dithane M-45 (2 lb/A). (First applied July 7 and second 10 days later).

 $\frac{3}{\text{Variety}}$ 

Table 6. Nitrogen Concentration and Uptake by Durum Wheat Plants As Influenced by Fertilizer Treatment, Variety and Fungicide Spray: Minot, ND - 1982.

		Fungic	ide Spr	Var	iety <sup>3/</sup>				
Fertilizer		S <sub>1</sub>			<sup>3</sup> 2				
Treatment 1/	$\overline{v}_1$		Ave.	$\overline{v}_1$		Ave.	$v_1$	v <sub>2</sub>	Ave.
	Plan	t N Con	centrat	ion (%)	- Soft	Dough	Stage		
F <sub>1</sub>	1.85	1.50	1.67	1.57	1.56	1.57	1.71	1.53	1.62
F <sub>2</sub>	1.95	1.65	1.80	1.90	1.66	1.78	1.92	1.65	1.79
F <sub>3</sub>	1.83	1.51	1.67	1.75	1.62	1.68	1.79	1.56	1.68
Ave.	1.88	1.55	1.71	1.74	1.61	1.68	1.81	1.58	
	Plan	nt N Up	take (1	o/acre)	- Soft	Dough	Stage		n.
$^{\mathtt{F}}\mathbf{_{1}}$	102.9	83.5	93.2	79.4	92.7	86.0	91.2	.88.1	89.6
F <sub>2</sub>	121.3	117.2	119.3	148.3	122.6	135.5	134.8	119.9	127.4
F <sub>3</sub>	133.3	115.9	124.6	120.3	120.4	120.4	126.8	118.2	122.5
Ave.	119.2	105.5	112.4	116.0	111.9	114.0	117.6	108.7	

Fertilizer treatment

Note: all treatments received 50 lb/acre 18-46-0 applied with the seed at planting.

 $S_1 = No fungicide applied.$ 

 $\frac{3}{\text{Variety}}$ 

 $F_1$  = Normal fertilizer rate based on soil tests and yield goal of 35 bu/acre (none required in 1982).

 $F_2$  = Nitrogen (100 lb N/acre) and phosphorus (30 lb P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/acre) fertilizer rate deep placed based on  $F_1$  soil tests and maximum yield goal of 80 bu/acre.

 $F_3$  = Same as  $F_2$  except 50 lb/acre  $K_2$ 0 fertilizer applied broadcast

<sup>2/</sup>Fungicide spray treatment

<sup>=</sup> Fungicide applied in two applications at recommended rates of Dithane M-45 (2 1b/A). (First applied July 7 and second 10 days later).

Phosphorus Concentration and Uptake by Durum Wheat Plants As Influenced by Fertilizer Treatment, Variety and Fungicide Spray: Minot, ND - 1982.

							***************************************	2/	
	1	Fungici	de Spray	y Treatm	nent <sup>2/</sup>		Varie	ety <sup>3/</sup>	
Fertilizer	S.	L		. 3,	2				
Treatment 1/	$\overline{v}_1$	V <sub>2</sub>	Ave.	$v_{\underline{1}}$	$v_2$	Ave.	v <sub>1</sub>	<sup>∀</sup> 2	Ave.
COLUMN TO SERVICE STATE OF THE	Plant	P Conc	centratio	on (%)	- Soft	Dough S	tage		
F <sub>1</sub>	.260	.220	.240	.252	.230	.241	.256	.225	.241
F <sub>2</sub>	.265	.222	.244	.245	.215	.230	.255	.219	.237
F <sub>3</sub>	.248	.200	.224	.245	.202	.224	.246	.201	.224
Ave.	.258	.214	.236	.248	.216	.232	.252	.215	
	Plan	t P Up	take (lb	/acre)	- Soft	Dough S	tage		
F <sub>1</sub>	14.5	12.4	13.4	13:0	13.6	13.3	13.8	13.0	13.4
F <sub>2</sub>	16.5	15.8	16.2	19.1	16.1	17.6	17.8	16.0	16.9
F <sub>3</sub>	17.9	15.1	16.5	16.7	15.1	15.9	17.3	15.1	16.2
Ave.	16.3	14.4	15.4	16.3	14.9	15.6	16.3	14.5	

 $F_1$  = Normal fertilizer rate based on soil tests and yield goal of 35 bu/acre (none required in 1982).

 $F_2$  = Nitrogen (100 lb N/acre) and phosphorus (30 lb P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/acre) fertilizer rate deep placed based on F, soil tests and maximum yield goal of 80 bu/acre.

 $F_3$  = Same as  $F_2$  except 50 lb/acre  $K_2$ 0 fertilizer applied broadcast

all treatments received 50 lb/acre 18-46-0 applied with the seed at planting.

2/Fungicide spray treatment

 $S_1$  = No fungicide applied.  $S_2$  = Fungicide applied in two applications at recommended rates of Dithane M-45 (2 1b/A). (First applied July 7 and second 10 days later).

 $\frac{3}{\text{Variety}}$ 

Potassium Concentration and Uptake by Durum Wheat Plants As Influenced by Fertilizer Treatment, Variety and Fungicide Spray: Minot, ND - 1982.

		Fungic	ide Spra	y Treat	ment <sup>2</sup> /		Vari	iety <sup>3/</sup>	diamajo piny dia dia Pay GPU NASIAN-Es
Fertilizer		<sup>3</sup> 1			2				
Treatment 1/	$v_1$	v <sub>2</sub>	Ave.	$v_{1}$	v <sub>2</sub>	Ave.	v <sub>1</sub>	V <sub>2</sub>	Ave.
	Plant	K Con	centrati	on (%)	- Soft	Dough	Stage		
F <sub>1</sub>	1.91	2.00	1.96	1.97	2.04	2.00	1.94	2.02	1.98
F <sub>2</sub>	2.61	2.12	2.36	2.36	2.55	2.46	2.48	2.33	2.41
F <sub>3</sub>	2.79	2.27	2.53	2.46	2.40	2.43	2.63	2.33	2.48
Ave.	2.44	2.13	2.28	2.26	2.33	2.30	2.35	2.23	
	<u>Plar</u>	nt K Up	take (1b	/acre)	- Soft	Dough	Stage		
F <sub>1</sub>	107.8	112.6	110.2	101.3	122.3	111.8	104.5	117.5	111.0
F <sub>2</sub>	162.3	151.3	156.8	185.1	190.0	187.6	173.7	170.7	172.2
<b>F</b> <sub>3</sub>	205.7	174.0	189.8	168.8	178.6	173.7	187.3	176.3	181.8
Ave.	158.6	146.0	152.3	151.7	163.6	157.7	155.2	154.8	

 $F_1$  = Normal fertilizer rate based on soil tests and yield goal of 35 bu/acre (none required in 1982).

 $F_3$  = Same as  $F_2$  except 50 lb/acre  $K_2$ 0 fertilizer applied broadcast as KCl.

all treatments received 50 lb/acre 18-46-0 applied with the seed at planting.

 $\frac{3}{\text{Variety}}$ 

 $F_2$  = Nitrogen (100 lb N/acre) and phosphorus (30 lb  $P_2O_5$ /acre) fertilizer rate deep placed based on F, soil tests and maximum yield goal of 80 bu/acre.

<sup>2/</sup>Fungicide spray treatment

 $S_1$  = No fungicide applied.  $S_2^1$  = Fungicide applied in two applications at recommended rates of Dithane M-45 (2 1b/A). (First applied July 7 and second 10 days later).

Nitrogen Concentration and Uptake by Durum Wheat Seed As Influenced by Fertilizer Treatment, Variety and Fungicide Spray: Minot, ND - 1982.

	]	Fungici	de Spray		Varie	ety 3/	Company of the State of the Sta		
Fertilizer	S.		·	3,	2				
Treatment 1/	V <sub>1</sub>	v <sub>2</sub>	Ave.	$v_1$	<sup>V</sup> 2	Ave.	v <sub>1</sub>	v <sub>2</sub>	Ave.
		Se	eed N Cor	ncentra	tion (%	<u>(3)</u>			
F <sub>1</sub>	2.44	2.55	2.50	2.47	2.57	2.52	2.46	2.56	2.51
F <sub>2</sub>	2.45	2.60	2.53	2.61	2.62	2.62	2.53	2.61	2.57
F <sub>3</sub>	2.50	2.65	2.57	2.54	2.55	2.55	2.52	2.60	2.56
Ave.	2.46	2.60	2.53	2.54	2.58	2.56	2.50	2.59	
		i 1	Seed N U	ptake (	lb/acr	e)			
$^{\mathtt{F}}_{\mathtt{1}}$	74.5	74.7	74.6	67.5	79.7	73.6	71.0	77.2	74.1
F <sub>2</sub>	79.3	77.1	78.2	69.8	83.8	76.8	74.6	80.4	77.5
F <sub>3</sub>	80.3	85.6	83.0	83.0	89.3	86.1	81.7	87.4	84.5
Ave.	78.0	79.1	78.6	73.4	84.2	78.8	75.7	81.7	

 $F_1$  = Normal fertilizer rate based on soil tests and yield goal of 35 bu/acre (none required in 1982).

 $F_2$  = Nitrogen (100 lb N/acre) and phosphorus (30 lb  $P_2O_5$ /acre) fertilizer rate deep placed based on F, soil tests and maximum yield goal of 80 bu/acre.

 $F_3 = \text{Same as } F_2 \text{ except 50 lb/acre } K_2^0 \text{ fertilizer applied broadcast}$ 

all treatments received 50 lb/acre 18-46-0 applied with the seed Note: at planting.

2/Fungicide spray treatment

S<sub>1</sub> = No fungicide applied. S<sub>2</sub> = Fungicide applied in = Fungicide applied in two applications at recommended rates of Dithane M-45 (2 1b/A). (First applied July 7 and second 10 days later).

 $\frac{3}{\text{Variety}}$ 

Table 10. Phosphorus Concentration and Uptake by Durum Wheat Seed As Influenced by Fertilizer Treatment, Variety and Fungicide Spray: Minot, ND - 1982.

	Fungicide Spray Treatment <sup>2/</sup>							$\frac{3}{\text{Variety}}$		
Fertilizer	٥	1		S	2					
Treatment 1/	$\overline{v}_1$	v <sub>2</sub>	Ave.	$v_1$	v <sub>2</sub>	Ave.	v <sub>1</sub>	v <sub>2</sub>	Ave.	
Seed P Concentration (%)										
F <sub>1</sub>	.378	.365	.371	•370	.362	.366	.374	.364	.369	
F <sub>2</sub>	.388	.358	•372	.380	.372	•376	.384	.365	<u>.374</u>	
F <sub>3</sub>	.368	.350	•359	.382	.365	.374	•375	.358	<u>.366</u>	
Ave.	.378	.358	.368	.378	.367	•372	.378	.362		
			Seed	P Uptak	e (%)					
F <sub>1</sub>	11.5	10.7	11.1	10.2	11.3	10.8	10.9	11.0	10.9	
F <sub>2</sub>	12.7	10.6	11.6	10.2	11.9	11.1	11.4	11.2	11.3	
F <sub>3</sub>	12.0	11.3	11.6	12.5	12.8	12.7	12.2	12.0	12.1	
Ave.	12.1	10.8	11.4	11.0	12.0	11.5	11.5	11.4		

<sup>1/</sup>Fertilizer treatment

Note: all treatments received 50 1b/acre 18-46-0 applied with the seed at planting.

 $F_1$  = Normal fertilizer rate based on soil tests and yield goal of 35 bu/acre (none required in 1982).

 $F_2$  = Nitrogen (100 lb N/acre) and phosphorus (30 lb P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/acre) fertilizer rate deep placed based on  $F_1$  soil tests and maximum yield goal of 80 bu/acre.

 $F_3$  = Same as  $F_2$  except 50 lb/acre  $K_2$ 0 fertilizer applied broadcast

<sup>2/</sup>Fungicide spray treatment

 $S_1$  = No fungicide applied.  $S_2$  = Fungicide applied in two applications at recommended rates of Dithane M-45 (2 1b/A). (First applied July 7 and second 10 days later).

 $<sup>\</sup>frac{3}{\text{Variety}}$ 

Potassium Concentration and Uptake by Durum Wheat Seed As Table 11. Influenced by Fertilizer Treatment, Variety and Fungicide: Minot, ND - 1982.

	I	Fungici	Varie						
Fertilizer	$\mathbf{s}_1$	L	And the second s	5,	2				
Treatment 1/	$v_1$	v <sub>2</sub>	Ave.	$v_1$	$v_2$	Ave.	v <sub>1</sub>	v <sub>2</sub>	Ave.
Contraction of State Sta		Se	eed K Cor	ncentra	tion (	<u>४)</u>			
F <sub>1</sub>	.565	.530	•548	•578	.505	.541	.571	.518	.544
F <sub>2</sub>	•590	.505	.548	•592	.530	.561	.591	.518	.554
F <sub>3</sub>	•558	.518	.538	.582	.538	.560	.570	.528	.549
Ave.	•571	.518	.544	•584	.524	.554	.578	.521	
		i e	Seed K U	ptake (	lb/acr	<u>e)</u>			
F <sub>1</sub>	17.2	15.5	16.4	15.9	15.7	15.8	16.6	15.6	16.1
F <sub>2</sub>	19.2	14.9	17.1	15.9	17.0	16.4	17.5	16.0	16.7
F <sub>3</sub>	18.2	16.7	17.5	19.1	18.9	19.0	18.6	17.8	18.2
Ave.	18.2	15.7	<u>17.0</u>	16.9	17.2	<u>17.1</u>	<u>17.6</u>	16.4	

 $F_1$  = Normal fertilizer rate based on soil tests and yield goal of 35

bu/acre (none required in 1982).

 $F_2$  = Nitrogen (100 lb N/acre) and phosphorus (30 lb  $P_2O_5$ /acre) fertilizer rate deep placed based on F, soil tests and maximum yield goal of 80 bu/acre.

 $F_3$  = Same as  $F_2$  except 50 lb/acre  $K_2$ 0 fertilizer applied broadcast

all treatments received 50 1b/acre 18-46-0 applied with the seed at planting.

2/Fungicide spray treatment

S<sub>1</sub> = No fungicide applied. S<sub>2</sub> = Fungicide applied in two applications at recommended rates of Dithane M-45 (2 1b/A). (First applied July 7 and second 10 days later).

 $\frac{3}{\text{Variety}}$ 

Influenced by Fertilizer Treatment, Variety, Fungicide Spray and Subsequent Interactions: Tests of Significance For Variables Measured In The Maximum Durum Wheat Yield Study As Minot, ND - 1982. Table 12.

				Source	ce		
	Fertilizer	Spray	Variety	Variety	Variety Fertilizer	Fertilizer	Fertilizer
				×	×	×	×
				Spray	Spray	Variety	Spray
Variable							x Variety
Grain Vield (h.,/acre)	SN	SN.	SN	SN	60.4	SN	6.21
Grain Test Welcht (1h/hii)	9.50	S	* *	SN	SN	0.74	SN
plant Heloht (inches)	1-36	SN	*	SN	S	0.94	1.56
Follar Disease Ratino (number)		SN	SN	SN	S	19.8	SN
plant Drv Matter (1h/acre)	1304	SN	SN	SN	554	SN	846
	- ) )		1				
Plant Nutrients	į	1	•	1 1 7	;	,	546
ation	NS	NS	*	•155	SN	SN	SS
(%) P	SN	SN	* *	SN	SN	SN	NS
×	990*	SN	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
Uptake	23.8	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	29.3
(1b/acre) P	3.16	SN	*	NS	SN	SN	2.83
M	42.1	SN	SN	NS	29.7	NS	SN
Seed Dry Matter (1b/acre)	NS	NS	SN	NS	215	NS	326
Seed Nutrients							
Concentration N	SN	NS	SN	NS	.082	SN	NS
d (%)	NS	NS	NS	NS	SN	NS	NS
M	NS	NS	*	NS	NS	NS	SN
Uptake	7.16	NS	*	8.31	. SN	NS	NS
(lb/acre) P	SN	SN	NS	NS	1.18	SN	NS
	1.60	SN	NS	NS	1.58	SN	2,32
Kernal Weight (gm/1000)	2.79	NS	*	NS	NS	SN	NS
Moisture Use (inches)	0.48	NS	SN	SN	SN	NS	NS

Statistical analysis run on the computer using SAS procedures. Tests of significance were determined by Waller-Duncan K-Ratio T Test (Bayes LSD) at the .05 level of significance. NS = non significant, \* = comparing only two means with significance at .05 level (\*\* = .01 level and \*\*\* = .001 level). Number value = unit of difference required to be significant at the .05 level according to Bayes LSD.

Table 13. Daily Growing Season Precipitation Received at the Maximum Wheat Yield Trial: Minot, ND - 1982.

				Мо	nth		
Day	April	May	June	July	August	September	October
				in	ches		
					T		.07
1					1		.31
2							T
3 1975				0.7		Т	*
4	T		0/	.07		1	T
5	.12		.04	.25	.23		1.30
6			T	975		•	.09
7	-	$\mathbf{T}^{\perp}$	1.05	T	.14		•05
8	T		.13	.07			.57
9	T	.38	1.82	.70			.60
10	T	.46	.02	T		m	
11		.02		T		<b>T</b>	.48
12				T			.04
13		.04		.52	.11	.02	.01
14	.10	T	.02				
15	.07	.78			.02		
16	.03	.46	.02		T		
17		.63	.13	${f T}$			T
18		T ·	.02		.05		.17
19			.03	${f T}$	.09		.04
20							
21					T		
22		T			<b>T</b>		•
23				.04	.03		* .
24		.70	.03	T	.01		•
25						•	
26		•			T	T	
27			.09	.19	T	.06	
28			1.50		T	2.13	•57
29		.04	.08		T	.49	.22
30	.03	T			.17	.01	
31	•05	.01			.36		
31		•01					
Total	.43	3.52	4.98	1.84	1.21	2.71	4.47
TULAL	• 7 7	J = J 4					

Daily Growing Season Maximum and Minimum Air Temperatures at the Maximum Wheat Yield Trial: Minot, ND-1982. Table 14.

1	ا ہے ا	ı																											a de la companya de l				
ber	Min		29	36	36	34	36	31	31	33	39	35	33	37	34	34	37	37	42	40	30	29	24	27	30	64	41	38	40	43	30	25	28
October	Max		45	42	49	48	99	39	36	49	46	94	42	42	51	63	72	69	<b>9</b>	71	41	37	41	52	62	<b>6</b> 7	89	70	29	24	45	45	47
										*																			100	K.T.			
nber	Min		<u></u>	9+	9+	52	5	<sup>‡</sup> 2	47	&	99	99	53	21	<u>-</u>	<del></del>	67	20	38	39	39	31	33	t 2	<u></u>	32	32	9	†5	9	34	6	
September			7	3	9	4	, . ,	. 0	6	∞.	2	4	7	4	4		4		9	<b>∞</b>	6	7	7	3	9	7	۲.		ထ	~	7	9	
Se	Мах		7	7	7	∞	<b>∞</b>	7	7	∞	6	6	6	7	7	9	Ŋ	9	9	9	7	5	9	7	_	7	9	7	9	'n	2	4	
1	Min		_	6	σ.	10	10	_	m	~	<u>_</u> +	<u>«</u>	~			<b>~</b>	7	<b>_</b> +	7	~	6	<b>-</b> +	<b>-</b> +		.+	.+			~1		_	_	~1
Augus		İ							63																				1177				
Au	Max		91	93	87	84	85	90	88	80	70	70	72	81	82	86	90	81	88	90	96	83	86	91	75	70	73	9	9	59	81	9/	53
	-														•																		
July		[24	48	54	59	62	59	55	50	48	55	55	57	9	58	58	58	64	53	49	26	26	26	55	58	63	09	58	53	26	53	51	53
Jul	Max	٥	73	80	82	98	87	79	72	74	17	73	80	82	98	78	79	86	89	75	79	86	98	19	82	88	84	83	92	80	81	11	98
																														***************************************			
le	Min		38	41	44	48	50	55	47	45	42	40	42	45	9†	52	64	55	47	47	48	45	48	51	54	20	94	47	55	26	94	47	
June	Max		. 99	89	71	7.1	9/	62	29	65	20	89	72	72	75	80	9/	78	81	55	. 99	73	74	75	84	83	71	74	83	81	63	89	
	Σ																													Adi His			
	Min		37	£	54	1,7	35	35	26	25	27	35	34	39	5	1.1	1,1	1,1	8	11	6	9+	£3	<b>†</b> †	<del>.</del> 5	£3	£3	<b>†</b> †	. 10	24	33	96	37
May			_	_	2	œ	5	-	7	0	Ŋ	4	īΟ	7	00	6	0	4	7	9	2	r.	6	7	1	<b>\</b>	00	<u>.</u> ص	ထ	_		<b></b> 4	G
-	Max			7	- ∞	∞	9	9	4	S	4	4	4	S	٠	ιΩ	7	ς.	2	5	9	9	5	9	7	7	9	_	7	9	7	9	3
	u,		•	_	~	_			•	_		~	_	,,				~	•	<u>~</u> 1			~	٠.		~			 ~		_		
L	Min								19																								
Ap	Max		33	35	18	22	7	2	31	31	38	35	37	57	57	63	7.1	55	53	59	65	48	50	55	72	83	11	4	59	9	9	52	
	Day			2	3	4	ζ.	9	7	8	6	0		2	3	4	5	9	7	8	6	0	_	2	3	4	2	9	7	œ	6	0	
		ı										Н	-	~		_				-	_	7	7	7	7	7	7	2	7	7	2	3	C